

BROWN ARMSTRONG

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

The Honorable Board of Supervisors County of San Luis Obispo San Luis Obispo, California

We have performed the procedures noted below with respect to information included in the Los Osos Landfill Financial Means Test Certification - Alternative I Worksheet, solely to assist you in connection with a determination as to whether the Alternative I Worksheet is accurate, as compared to the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. The management of the County of San Luis Obispo is responsible for the accounting records. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures are as follows:

We compared the information contained in the worksheet entitled "Alternative I" with the information contained in the audited financial statements.

Results:

There were no differences between the information reported in the worksheet entitled "Alternative I" and the audited financial statements of the County of San Luis Obispo for the year ended June 30, 2015.

We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the information or amounts included in the aforementioned worksheet. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County of San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors, management of the County of San Luis Obispo, and the California Integrated Waste Management Board, and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

BROWN ARMSTRONG ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

Grown Amstrong fecountaincy Corporation

Bakersfield, California March 15, 2016

BAKERSFIELD OFFICE (MAIN OFFICE)

4200 TRUXTUN AVENUE

SUITE 300

BAKERSFIELD, CA 93309

TEL 661 324 4971 FAX 661 324 4997

77. 301.321.477

EMAIL info@bacpas.com

FRESNO OFFICE

7673 N. INGRAM AVENUE

SUITE 101

FRESNO CA 93711

TEL 559 476 3592

FAX: 559 476 3593

PASADENA OFFICE

260 S LOS ROBLES AVENUE

SUITE 310

PASADÉNIA CA 91101

TEL 626.204.6542

FAX 626 204 6547

STOCKTON OFFICE

5250 CLAREMONT AVENUE

SUITE 237

STOCKTON CA 95207

TEL 209,451,4833

REGISTERED with the Public Compani Accounting Oversight Board and MEMBER of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

ALTERNATIVE I (Omit if using Alternative II)

| 1. | Specify amounts of coverage Post closure Maintenance Co- Corrective Action Costs | sts \$\$ | 3,225,233 1,051,519 |
|-----------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | \$ <u> </u> | 4,276,752 |
| 2. | Is the local government currently in default on any outstanding g | eneral obligation bo | nds? Yes 🗌 No 🌉 |
| 3. | Does the local government have any outstanding general obligati Baa by Moody's or BBB as issued by Standard and Poor's? | | er than Yes 🗌 No 🔣 |
| 4. | Has the local government operated at a deficit equal to five perceavenue in each of the past two fiscal years? | ent or more of total a | annual Yes 🔲 No 🌃 |
| 5. | Has the local government received an adverse opinion, disclaimed opinion from the independent certified public accountant (or approauditing its financial statement as required under §22249(a)? | ropriate State agenc | |
| If 2, 3 §222 | 3, 4 or 5 = YES, STOP1 The local government is NOT eligible 49. | to assure its oblig | ations under |
| If_2,3 | 3,4, and 5 = NO, CONTINUE BELOW. | | |
| 6. | Sum of costs assured under §22249 (total of all costs shown about numbered paragraphs of the letter to CIWMB) | | two 4, <mark>276,752</mark> |
| * 7. | Total annual revenue | <u>\$</u> | 436,367,000 |
| * 8. | Cash | <u>\$</u> | 100,632,021 |
| *9. | Marketable securities | <u>\$</u> | 160,662,979 |
| 10. | Sum of cash and marketable securities (line 8 + line 9) | <u></u> <u>\$</u> | 261,295,000 |
| *11. | Total expenditures | <u>\$</u> | 378,009,000 |
| *12. | Annual debt service | , <u>\$</u> | 6,788,000 |
| 13. | 43% Threshold Limit on Assured Costs: Is line 6 = 43% or less of line 7? | | Yes No |
| 14. | Liquidity Ratio: Is line 10 divided by line 11 greater than or equal to 0.05? | *********** | Yes No 🗔 |
| 15. | Debt Service Ratio: Is line 12 divided by line 11 less than or equal to 0.20? | | Yes No 🗆 |
| being | eby certify that this letter is worded as specified by the California Inte executed in accordance with the requirements of Title 27, California vision 1, Chapter 6, section 22249. | grated Waste Manag Code of Regulations | gement Board and is , Division 2, |
| Cianal | JAMES P | | 100 |
| Signat | fureTyped or P | rintea Name | |
| CHIÉF Title | FINANCIAL OFFICER/AUDITOR-CONTROLLER03-15-16 | | |
| | | | |